## Case 4:22-mj-71517-MAG Document 17 Filed 01/12/23 Page 1 of F | L E D

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

JAN 12 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United	States of America,	)	Case No. 22-MJ-71517
	Plaintiff, v.	) )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Ma	Sia Hollins Defendant(s).	)	
continuance of	utweigh the best interest of the pu	blic and the	and finds that the ends of justice served by the edefendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § s continuance on the following factor(s):
	Failure to grant a continuance we See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i)		ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).		
. , '	Failure to grant a continuance we taking into account the exercise	ould deny tof due dilig	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
	Failure to grant a continuance w necessary for effective preparations See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	on, taking	sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u>×</u>	disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the p	e court sets arties' show hearing und d for an inc	ing into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ader Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for dictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the im. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS	SO ORDERED.	<	Landis Willing
DATI	ED: 1/12/23		Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIP	ULATED: Attorney for Defendan	it .	Assistant United States Attorney